Breakout Group F

Efficacy and Crop Safety Data Development
1. Information identification

- **Issue** - efficacy-crop safety
  - where? - published? - website?

- **Possible solution:**
  - Refer to Group B
  - Collation of data sources / contacts – national databases
  - Registration status – was efficacy a registration requirement?
  - Research activities

- **By whom?**
  - NAFTA TWG, OECD –EGMU, EU Expert Group, CropLife
2. Scientific assessment principles

• Issue
  – extrapolation
  – scientific rationale
  – crop grouping (differences)- indicator crops / pests
  – zoning

• Possible solution:
  – matrix of requirements and management
  – short term (links to current documents)- long term (database development)
  – Global zoning – link with Residue work?- assess applicability to efficacy.

• By Whom?
  – OECD – RSG
  – IR-4
3. Liability

- **Issue**
  - waiver statements
  - depends on legal context

- **Possible solution:**
  - Refer to Group E
  - appropriate amount of data for mitigation of risk

- **By Whom?**
4. “Crop” grouping*

• **Issue**
  – variants with residues, - ornamentals, - pest complex, - crop variations, - limitations with herbicides

• **Possible solution:**
  – Efficacy – rep crop / pest representatives
  – Crop safety – rep crop/worse case scenario
  – * “Terminology” to differentiate from residues
  – Success linked to liability issues.

• **By Whom?**
  – monitor current EPPO activities
5. Data generation

• **Issue**
  – lack for minor uses
  – funding? sources?
  – resources / capability
  – formulation factors

• **Possible solution:**
  – linked to information sources – ref above
  – collate priority lists from all regions
  – identify joint R&D (link to Group D)

• **By Whom?**
  – minor use programs, grower organizations, governments
6. Tool Box / Armoury

• **Issue**
  – options
  – resistance management

• **Possible solution:**
  – Extend / encourage / identify joint reviews
  – workshares for minor uses

• **By Whom?**
  – OECD RSG, minor use programs, registrants